

Abstract

The term Neuro-Arts Education was coined by R.L. Garner, following the success of his Neuropsychological Art Therapy Model (1996). It concerns the integration of education and neuroscience, and is conceptually in line with the tenets of the International Mind, Brain, and Education Society. Whereas, great advances have occurred in neuroscience, connecting those advances to education has been elusive. New brain science relevant to education is emerging continually. The incorporation of chaos theory and neuro-constructivism forms an additional conceptual basis for the Neuro-Creative Arts Theory (NCAT), developed by Garner, to address education of students with disabilities. The concepts of brain development, drawn from neuro-constructivism, and brain function, from chaos theory, provide a framework for NCAT based educational methodologies involving the creative arts. Recent information from the fields of creativity, cognitive, and brain research give support to Neuro-Creative Arts Theory and Neuro-Arts Education. Knowledge of this content is important to special education, specifically traumatic brain injury (TBI). Focus should be placed on the importance of art education as a means to, not only encourage creativity, but also to address issues of behavioral and cognitive functioning. Accordingly, brain functions are described as they relate to various disabilities, as well as to the production and comprehension of artwork. Examination of the theoretical and applied aspects of creativity, art education, and art therapy illustrate the connections between creative activities, human development, brain function and disabilities. Finally, there is discussion of methods through which creative arts activities aid teachers, and students with special needs,

NEURO-ARTS EDUCATION: NEUROSCIENCE AND EDUCATION

in gaining insight of skills, abilities, and potentials for learning through art.

Keywords: Neuro-Arts Education, Neuropsychological Art Therapy, Neuro-Creative Arts Theory, Traumatic Brain Injury, Special Education, Art Education, Creativity, Chaos Theory, Neuro-Constructivism

Neuro-Arts Education: Neuroscience and Education

This paper will spotlight recent information from the fields of creativity, cognitive, and brain research relevant to Neuro-Creative Arts Theory (NCAT) and Neuro-Arts Education (NAE). NCAT contributes the theoretical underpinnings to NAE, which deals with application. Research on creativity and brain function can enhance educational methods for students with disabilities. Art education can serve as a means to, not only encourage creativity, but also to address issues of emotional, behavioral and cognitive functioning. The incorporation of chaos theory and neuro-constructivism forms a conceptual basis for the Neuro-Creative Arts Theory (NCAT) and the application of Neuro-Arts Education (NAE). The concept of brain development, drawn from neuro-constructivism, and brain function, drawn from chaos theory, provide a framework for NCAT based educational methodologies involving the creative arts.

Of particular importance, to neuro-constructivism, is evidence which indicates that the types of problems encountered by the learner directly affect brain development. This is contrary to conceiving of the brain as containing pre-wired circuitry, or modules, which make learning possible (Fodor, 1975). Although, the study of adult brains reveals that some degree of modularity exist. Neuro-constructivists posit that brain development and organization result from problem solving activities, in conjunction with environment (Garner, 2004).

Cognitive Processing and Development

teachers to initiate alternative methods for addressing individual student needs.

Behavioral patterns developed through educational or clinical uses of behaviorist techniques can be difficult to break due to years of repetition and practice within the school, home or therapeutic environment. Hence, the concepts of chaos and randomness, valued in NCAT, are disdained by this classical school of thought with its focus on stimulus associations and predictability.

Models of Cognitive Development

Overall, models of cognitive development have generally consisted of a series

theorists. Now, such stages of development are conceived of as being multi-layered and capable of operating simultaneously, as well as throughout the lifespan.

Recently, Gardner (1991, 1999) has delineated a cognitive system composed least eight forms of cognitive capabilities and admits the possible existence of others. These are outlined in the list below.

Intelligence	Core Operations
Linguistic	syntax, phonology, semantics, pragmatics
Musical	pitch, rhythm, timbre
Logical-mathematical	number, categorization, relations
Spatial	accurate mental visualization, mental transformation of images
Bodily-kinesthetic	control of one's own body, control in handling objects
Interpersonal	awareness of others' feelings, emotions, goals, motivations

Intrapersonal	awareness of one's own feelings, emotions, goals, motivations
Naturalist	recognition and classification of objects in the environment

Hence, theories of cognitive development have moved to increased complexity in their conception of human development and capacities. The move appears to be away from the general to the specific, from the group to the individual. This is in line with NCAT, chaos theory and neuro-constructivist theory. Contrary to our current educational environment, operating upon a prevailing and engrained constructivist techniques as its functional methodology, NCAT is sensitive to the value of initial conditions, randomness and self-organization as above the general, as well as the individual above the group.

The Neuro-Constructivist Model

Over time, the previously described theories and constructs have become increasingly embedded within the 21st century system of education. It is only through their refinement that the conceptual development of a neuro-constructivist model has become possible.

The constructivist concepts of Piaget (1952, 1960) and the modular concepts of Fodor (1975) have now become a synthesis, rather than antithesis. What we now

know reinforces the value of a wide range of learning experiences and reveals that the Creative Arts are a unique source of brain development. The following quote summarizes the value of incorporating neuro-constructivism into a model for education:

...according to "neural constructivism," the representational features of cortex are built from the dynamic interaction between neural growth mechanisms and environmentally derived neural activity. Contrary to popular selectionist models that emphasize regressive mechanisms, the neurological evidence suggests that this growth is a progressive increase in the representational properties of cortex. It is argued that the interaction between the environment and neural growth results in a flexible type of learning: "constructive learning" minimizes the need for prespecification in accordance with recent neurological evidence that the developing cerebral cortex is largely free of domain-specific structure. Instead, the representational properties of cortex are built by the nature of the problem domain confronting it... this uniquely powerful and general learning strategy undermines the central assumption of classical learning theory, that the learning properties of a system can be deduced from a fixed computational architecture" (Quartz & Sejnowski, 1997, p. 537).

Garner (2004, p. 1299) states:

In the end, neuro-constructivism provides evidence which indicates that the types of problems encountered by the learner directly affect brain

development. This is contrary to conceiving of the brain as containing pre-wired circuitry, or modules, which make learning possible. Neuro-constructivists posit that brain development and organization result from problem solving activities, in conjunction with environment. Overall, this model enhances, not only the value of Humanities and Arts Education in general education, but also the current international and global education initiatives within higher education.

Under a neuro-constructivists model for education, the Humanities and Arts Education become important, not only as content areas, but as contributors to brain development itself. Additionally, a curriculum based heavily or solely on mathematic, scientific, and verbal skills is seen as an inhibitor to brain development, thereby limiting human potential. Utilizing problems/classroom activities and content delivery that encourages compartmentalization and modularity within the developing brain, particularly at a young age, is detrimental to the human mind. Ultimately, a curriculum rich in the Arts and Humanities offers opportunities, which further enhance and contribute to the actual physical development of the brain through encounters with unique problems.

These conceptions are crucial to NCAT and NAE. Most importantly, an altered vision of the possibilities of education in and through the Creative Arts, particularly in the area of special education, is urgently needed. The recognition that we are

and technological media, on a daily basis

development evermore paramount.

Structured, Unstructured and Chaotic Applications

Neuro-Creative Arts Theory (NCAT) is an outgrowth of the preceding concepts, theories and research. In addition adds aFETD6(eie)-3(d)-3ds ri-

NEURO-

more informed and open to non-traditional, even chaotic and experimental, approaches to learning.

Creativity

Divergent Vs. Convergent Thinking

Along similar conceptual lines, divergent and convergent thinking, typically, have been associated with creative thought processes. Convergent thinking is that of typical academic tasks, which ask you to converge upon one correct answer.

Conversely, divergent thinking involves the generation of multiple solutions to a given problem or situation. Divergent thinking is easily conceived of as a chaotic nonlinear process, whereas convergent thinking yields a linear process of ordered/structured progression through rule-based processes. It is this nonlinear chaotic type of processing valued by NCAT, and abhorred by the current practices of the educational system, particularly in the area of special education.

Hence, chaos theory further clarifies and defines properties relevant to the cognitive processing concept of divergent thought.

IQ Vs. Creativity

The concepts of IQ and creativity involve a similar dialectic. The intelligence quotient (IQ) is thoroughly based in the ordered, structured and rule-based processes inherent within a given culture and its educational system. Although such cultural content or information may have been initiated in a nonlinear chaotic type of process, the outcome is perceived as logical, rational and linear. It is this perception

that inhibits seemingly illogical and nonlinear approaches to education as those incorporated by Neuro-Creative Arts Theory (NCAT) and Neuro-Arts Education (NAE).

Gardner (1993, p. 20-21) presented some conclusions reached by psychologists regarding IQ and creativity in particular. One such conclusion was intelligence tests, then, tests of creativity have failed to satisfy the expectations they measuring creativity using paper-and-pencil tests. Despite a few suggestive findings, it has not been possible to demonstrate that creativity tests are valid. That is, high actual vocation or avocation, nor is there convincing evidence that individuals deemed creative by their discipline or culture necessarily exhibit the kinds of divergent-

NCAT, therefore, concludes that the flaws of current measures and procedures in use within the education system should yield to more promising methodologies. Additionally, investigation of structured, unstructured and chaotic applications in education need to be undertaken in order to decipher when and who

Structured and Unstructured Applications

Literature discussing the issue of structured approaches to art therapy primarily examines this technique in group therapy settings. Diane Waller (1993) in her book Group Interactive Art Therapy: Its Use in Training and Treatment discusses structured art therapy approaches versus the use of non-structured approaches, directed versus non-

78) stated that memory for details is better when tasks are ordered into structured forms which can aid the preservation of memory events.

A somewhat structured art therapy approach was used by Hendrixson (1986) for, Mike, a 24 year old male with short-term memory impairment. His memory deficit involved the acquisition of new information. He could remember things that had occurred before his accident, but could not recall day to day events occurring since the brain injury, anterograde amnesia. As a result, the art therapy experiences were primarily task-oriented.

Following a failed attempt with scribble drawing Hendrixson decided to use self-

a form of expression that would not elicit undue anxiety about performance but would

address his special problem of short term memory lot rt rt(b)-3(e)6(fo)-5(re)7(h)-3(is a)-350ns ocO

McGraw (1989), using a more structured approach, states that the goals of

These examples using varying degrees of structure illustrate some possible applications within the structured/unstructured continuum and debate. Published works in the field of art therapy, appear skewed, due to their reliance on only select exam

Garner and Gregory (1997) viewed the entire progression of works, produced in art therapy sessions, as crucial to comprehending the complex and dynamic nature of

in the case of TBI. Thus, a prime concern, of NCAT and NAE, is how to achieve the appropriate structure/order in a manner that is most relevant and personal to the individual.

Chaotic Applications

Whereas, the above conceptions of structured interventions would be accepted as

demonstrated that insignificant, random or chaotic behaviors can be repeatedly copied to become a highly significant new order of doing things. Jantsch (1980, p. 42 -- 53) observed the "butterfly effect" in the evolution of living systems and social systems. Jantsch saw this process occurring through purposeful, cooperative and autonomous behavior (Sullivan, 1999, p. 3).

Carlos A. Torre, in Chaos Theory in Psychology (Abraham & Gilgen, 1995), states:

Additionally, using varied teaching strategies optimizes the possibilities of creating a learning environment conducive to students of widely diverse backgrounds and learning styles. A particular teaching strategy may stimulate one student while irritating another. Nonetheless, both stimulation and irritation can serve as agents for driving students' mental activities far from stable but maladaptive attractors, through unstable bifurcations, toward new stable, adaptive attractors of creative mental functioning that seeks to integrate apparent opposites" (Torre, 1995, p. 292 -- 293).

Speaking of students and chaotic dynamics Torre (1995, p. 292 -- 293) states that:

The atmosphere within which they are to solve problems should not be preconditioned with expectations that a right answer exists. Freeing the students to think openly about the situation and intuitively infer these solutions

inherent within it can, again, tap the chaotic dynamics inherent in thinking and problem-solving and encourage the optimal functioning and interaction of students' cognitive, affective/perceptive, and pragmatic mental processes.

Several important factors pertinent to NCAT and NAE are mentioned above. A sensitivity to initial conditions is recommended as a means to avoid producing engrained behaviors that ultimately become maladaptive/regressive mechanisms which impede future learning and development. Additionally, it includes focus on student generated solutions and honors self-organization. Increasing opportunities for creative mental functioning, rather than rote memorization or rule-based learning, is further promoted.

NCAT Processing Model

Bruer (2009) disputes the idea that "critical periods" during development, as related to synapse growth/death in the early years of life, is relevant to learning and education. He claims that neuroscientist have predominantly depended on experimentation with the visual processes/components of the brain as a primary model for brain function in general (see Kandell & Schwartz, 1991). Further, findings in neurobiology have not been supported by the behavioral findings from learning and educational studies (see Overman et al., 1996). Overall studies have shown that experience affects the "ease and efficiency of learning," rather than brain development or age. This is in-line with the tenets of neuro-constructivism and NCAT.

"Cognitive psychology attempts to understand the mental representations and processes that underlie expertise within learning domains." (Bruer, 2009, p. 49). "In the past cognitive models have been based on behavioral studies conducted by cognitive and developmental psychologist. In the last ten years, given the impact of unified mind -- brain research, other brain -- based sources of evidence have been brought to bear on cognitive models."

function. This is important because educators rarely recognize the complexity of their own behavior, not to mention the intricacies of their students. The complexity of the

NsE654 718<97.344 718.54 Tlecci81344 718.54 T -0 97.33(h)iTm[(.)] TJETBT1 217344 718.54

References

Abraham, F. D. & Gilgen, A. R. (Eds.) (1995). Chaos theory in psychology. Westport Connecticut: Praeger Publishers.

Bruer, J. T. (2009). Building bridges in neuroeducation. In A.M. Battro, K.W. Fischer & P.J. Lena (Eds.), The Educated Brain: Essays in Neuroeducation. New York: Cambridge University Press.

Bruner, J. (1968). The course of cognitive growth. In N.S. Endler, L.R. Boulter & H. Osher (Eds.), Contemporary Issues in Developmental Psychology. New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston.

Friedlander (Ed.), Exceptional Infant, Vol. 3, Assessment and Intervention. New York: Brunner/Mazel.

Basic Books

Gardner, H. (1991). The unschooled mind: How children think and how schools should teach. New York: Basic Books.

Garner, R. (2004). A neuro-constructivist model for education in the humanities. International Journal of the Humanities 2 (2), 1295.

Garner, R. L. (2000). Neuropsychological art therapy treatment protocol: An intervention utilizing portraiture, computer-based data analysis and a discipline-based art education framework. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Florida State University, Tallahassee.

Garner, R. L. (1996). The NAT model: Factors in neuropsychological art therapy. American Journal of Art Therapy,34, 107-111.

Garner, R., & Gregory, D. (Speaker), (1997). Art therapy research: A social responsibility (Cassette Recording No. 124-50). Denver, CO: National Audio Video, Inc.

Garson, J. W. (1996). Cognition poised at the edge of chaos: A complex alternative to a symbolic mind. Philosophical Psychology, 9(3), 301.

Gleick, J. (1987). Chaos: making a new science. New York: Viking.

Gleick, (1988).

